

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/672,636	09/28/2000	Gary Dan Dotson	00AB151	8215
7:	590 11/20/2002			
Allen-Bradley Company Inc John J Horn Patent Dept 704P Floor 8 T 29 1201 South Second Street Milwaukee, WI 53204			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2674	

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer:	09/672,636	DOTSON, GARY DAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MANUNO DATE EN	Kimnhung Nguyen	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (t).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/672,636

Art Unit: 2674

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Application has been examined. The original claims 1-29 are pending. The examination results are as following.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The Examiner has considered the references listed in the information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on 2-28-01 (Paper No. 2) (see attached form PTO-1449).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 15 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shibata et al. (US patent 4,845,477).

Shibata et al. disclose in figures 2-3 that a video controller for interfacing a frame buffer (refresh buffer) to a display in a computer system comprising a raster engine (raster scan of the color CRT 16) comprising a raster engine adapted to receive video data from the frame buffer to format the video data and to render the formatted data to the display (see column 2, lines 34-51); and a hardware blink logic system (because the system having AND gate and OR gate with color blink and data input/output with D flip-flop) operatively associated with the raster engine to selectively blink at least one pixel on the display (see column 3, lines 66-68 and column 4, lines 1-22).

Application/Control Number: 09/672,636

Art Unit: 2674

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-6, 8-13, 16-20 and 22-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shibata et al. (US patent 4,845,477) in view of Fleming et al. (US patent 4,439,759).

Shibata et al. disclose that the hardware blink logic system as discussed in claims 1, 15 and 29 above, and programmable via the computer system (see column 2, lines 34-51) and selected blink comprises pixels ORed gate (78, see column 4, lines 40-41) and exclusive OR (66, see column 4, lines 64-65), and wherein the hardware blinking logic system is adapted at least one blinking pixel (blink color 46, 48, see figure 3). However, Shibata et al. do not disclose a blink mode in the video controller. Fleming et al. disclose a set color mode to 0 or to 1 to the display system with blink color, that is the blink mode (see figure 4, column 6, lines 20-43). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of using the blink mode as taught by Fleming et al. in the system device of Shibata et al. because this would determine the number of operands following the opcode for selecting the mode of access in the image display system.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/672,636

Art Unit: 2674

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7, 14, 21 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

None of the cited art teaches or suggests that the video controller further comprising a blink rate register operatively associated with the hardware blink logic system and programmable via the computer system to select a blink rate, wherein the hardware blink logic system is adapted to selectively blink the at least one blinking pixel on the display to the selected blink mode.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number (703) 308-0425.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A HJERPE can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand-delivery response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kimnhung Nguyen November 14, 2002

> RICHARD HJERPE REDYTOORY PATENT ENAMMER

TECHNIC CON CENTER 2000